SIGAR

Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction

SIGAR 21-26 Financial Audit

Department of the Army's Operations and Maintenance Support and Networking Services for the Afghan National Police Network Operations Center: Audit of Costs Incurred by IAP Worldwide Services Inc.

In accordance with legal requirements, SIGAR has redacted certain information deemed proprietary or otherwise sensitive from this report



MARCH **2021**

SIGAR

Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction

WHAT THE AUDIT REVIEWED

On October 26, 2018, the Department of the Army's Contracting Command awarded IAP Worldwide Services Inc. (IAP) a 5-year cost-plus-fixed-fee task order worth up to \$48,772,121 to provide operations and maintenance support and networking services for the Afghan National Police Network Operations Center. The objective of the task order is to use information technology to enhance policing operations across the country. The Army has modified the task order seven times, but has not changed the value or period of performance, which runs from October 26, 2018, to November 25, 2023.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Castro & Company LLC (Castro), reviewed \$15,527,946 in costs charged to the task order from November 26, 2018, through November 25, 2019. The objectives of the audit were to (1) identify and report on material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in IAP's internal controls related to the task order; (2) identify and report on instances of material noncompliance with the terms of the task order and applicable laws and regulations, including any potential fraud or abuse; (3) determine and report on whether IAP has taken corrective action on prior findings and recommendations; and (4) express an opinion on the fair presentation of IAP's Special Purpose Financial Statement (SPFS). See Castro's report for the precise audit objectives.

In contracting with an independent audit firm and drawing from the results of the audit, auditing standards require SIGAR to review the audit work performed. Accordingly, SIGAR oversaw the audit and reviewed its results. Our review disclosed no instances wherein Castro did not comply, in all material respects, with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards.

March 2021

Department of the Army's Operations and Maintenance Support and Networking Services for the Afghan National Police Network Operations Center: Audit of Costs Incurred by IAP Worldwide Services Inc.

SIGAR 21-26-FA

WHAT SIGAR FOUND

Castro identified two material weaknesses and one significant deficiency in IAP's internal controls, and three instances of noncompliance with the terms of the task order. For example, IAP did not keep documentation showing that its Operations Technical Representative acknowledged receiving services and approved four invoices from subcontractors for payment. Additionally, IAP paid more for labor than the amounts recorded in one subcontractor's timesheets.

Because of the internal control issues and instances of noncompliance, Castro identified \$197,649 in total questioned costs, consisting of \$196,029 in unsupported costs—costs not supported with adequate documentation or that do not have required prior approval—and \$1,620 in ineligible costs—costs prohibited by the agreements and applicable laws and regulations.

Category	Ineligible	Unsupported	Total Questioned Costs
Labor	\$0		
Travel	\$1,620	\$0	\$1,620
Other Direct Costs	\$0	\$78,236	\$78,236
Fixed Fee	\$0		
Total Costs	\$1,620	\$196,029	\$197,649

Castro identified one prior audit that was relevant to IAP's task order. It had five findings that could have a material effect on the SPFS. Castro conducted follow-up procedures and concluded that IAP had not addressed one of the findings adequately.

Castro issued a qualified opinion on IAP's SPFS because of the material weaknesses related to the company's subcontractor invoice process and that the \$197,649 total questioned costs are material to the SPFS.

WHAT SIGAR RECOMMENDS

Based on the results of the audit, SIGAR recommends that the responsible contract officer at the Department of the Army:

- Determine the allowability of and recover, as appropriate,
 \$197,649 in questioned costs identified in the report.
- 2. Advise IAP to address the report's three internal control findings.
- 3. Advise IAP to address the report's three noncompliance findings.



March 16, 2021

The Honorable Lloyd J. Austin III Secretary of Defense

The Honorable John E. Whitley Acting Secretary of the Army

General Austin Scott Miller
Commander, U.S. Forces–Afghanistan and
Commander, Resolute Support

We contracted with Castro & Company LLC (Castro) to audit the costs incurred by IAP Worldwide Services Inc. (IAP) under a cost-plus-fixed-fee task order from Army Contracting Command to provide operations and maintenance support and networking services for the Afghan National Police Network Operations Center. The objective of the task order is to use information technology to enhance policing operations across the country.¹ Castro reviewed \$15,527,946 in costs charged to the task order from November 26, 2018, through November 25, 2019. Our contract with Castro required that the audit be performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Based on the results of the audit, SIGAR recommends that the responsible contract officer at the Department of the Army:

- 1. Determine the allowability of and recover, as appropriate, \$197,649 in questioned costs identified in the report.
- 2. Advise IAP to address the report's three internal control findings.
- 3. Advise IAP to address the report's three noncompliance findings.

The results of Castro's audit are discussed in detail in the attached report. We reviewed the report and related documentation. Our review, as differentiated from an audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards, was not intended to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on IAP's Special Purpose Financial Statement. We also express no opinion on the effectiveness of IAP's internal controls or compliance with the agreements, laws, and regulations. Castro is responsible for the attached auditor's report and the conclusions expressed in it. However, our review disclosed no instances in which Castro did not comply, in all material respects, with generally accepted government auditing standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Please provide documentation related to corrective actions taken and/or target dates for planned completion for the recommendations to sigar.pentagon.audits.mbx.recommendation-follow-up@mail.mil, within 60 days from the issue date of this report.

John F. Sopko

Special Inspector General

for Afghanistan Reconstruction

(F-192)

¹ The task order number is 0004 under contract no. W15P7T-17-D-0146.



February 4, 2021

Table of Contents

Fransmittal Letter	1
Summary	2
Background	2
Work Performed	2
Objectives Defined by SIGAR	3
Scope	3
Methodology	4
Summary of Results	5
Summary of Management Comments	6
References to Appendices	7
Independent Auditor's Report on the Special Purpose Financial Statement	8
Special Purpose Financial Statement	10
Notes to the Special Purpose Financial Statement	11
Notes to the Questioned Costs Presented on the Special Purpose Financial Statement	13
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control	14
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	16
Section I: Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	18
Section II – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit, Review and Assessment Findings	29
Appendix A – IAP's Responses to the Findings	32
Appendix B – Auditor's Rebuttal	41

Transmittal Letter

February 4, 2021

To the Board of Directors of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. 7315 North Atlantic Avenue Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

To the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction 2530 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202

Castro & Company, LLC (Castro) hereby provides to you our final report, which reflects results from the procedures we completed during our financial audit of costs incurred of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc.'s (IAP) Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) for costs billed under the Army Contracting Command (ACC) Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019 in support of the Afghan National Police Network Operations and Maintenance Support effort.

Within the pages that follow, we have provided a summary of the work performed, as well as the results, findings, and recommendations. In addition, we have incorporated the Independent Auditor's Report on the Special Purpose Financial Statement, Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control, and Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance. We do not express an opinion on the summary or any information preceding our reports.

When preparing our report, we considered comments, feedback, and interpretations of IAP, the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, and ACC, provided both in writing and orally throughout the audit planning and fieldwork phases. Management's final written response has been incorporated into this report as an appendix.

Thank you for providing us the opportunity to work with you and to conduct the financial audit of costs incurred by IAP under the above referenced ACC-funded project.

Sincerely,

Millie Seijo, CPA

Partner

Summary

Background

On October 26, 2018, the Army Contracting Command (ACC) awarded a cost-plus-fixed-fee task order to IAP to assist the Afghan National Police Network Operations Center, Transition Operations, and Maintenance Support effort (the Ministry of Interior Program). The objective of the task order is to help the Afghan Ministry of the Interior achieve its goals through effective and efficient use of information technologies. For over 60 years, IAP has been a provider of global-scale logistics, facilities management, and advanced professional technical services.

Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, includes a base period plus four option periods, which extend through November 25, 2023 and a ceiling amount of \$48,772,121. The task order has been modified seven times, increasing the obligated amount from \$10,088,836 to \$23,780,521 and revising the period of performance end dates for the base and first option periods. Modifications that resulted in funding changes, adjustments to the period of performance, and/or changes in scope are summarized below.

Modification No.	Highlights
02	• Increased the obligated amount by \$4,532,735.
04	• Exercised Option Period 1.
	• Revised the Base period of performance from November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019 to November 26, 2018 through May 25, 2019.
	• Revised the first Option Period's period of performance from November 26, 2019 through November 25, 2020 to May 26, 2019 through November 25, 2019.
06	• Increased the obligated amount by \$2,000,000.
07	• Increased the obligated amount by \$7,158,950.

The audit's scope included activity within the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019. Within the period under audit, IAP reported \$15,527,946 in total revenue, which consisted of in reimbursable costs and in fixed fee earned under the task order.

Work Performed

Castro & Company, LLC (Castro) was engaged by the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) to conduct a financial audit of costs incurred of IAP's Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004.

Objectives Defined by SIGAR

The following audit objectives were defined by SIGAR within the Statement of Work (SOW) for Financial Audits of Costs Incurred by Organizations Contracted by the U.S. Government for Reconstruction Activities in Afghanistan:

Audit Objective 1 – Special Purpose Financial Statement

Express an opinion on whether the Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) for the task order presents fairly, in all material respects, revenues received, costs incurred, items directly procured by the U.S. Government, and balance for the period audited in conformity with the terms of the contract and generally accepted accounting principles or other comprehensive basis of accounting.

Audit Objective 2 – Internal Controls

Evaluate and obtain a sufficient understanding of IAP's internal control related to the task order; assess control risk; and identify and report on significant deficiencies including material internal control weaknesses.

Audit Objective 3 – Compliance

Perform tests to determine whether IAP complied, in all material respects, with the terms and conditions of the contract and applicable laws and regulations; and identify and report on instances of material noncompliance with terms of the task order and applicable laws and regulations, including potential fraud or abuse that may have occurred.

Audit Objective 4 – Corrective Action on Prior Findings and Recommendations

Determine and report on whether IAP has taken adequate corrective action to address findings and recommendations from previous engagements that could have a material effect on the Statement or other financial data significant to the audit objectives.

Scope

The scope of our audit covers IAP's costs incurred under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019. Within the period under audit, IAP reported \$15,527,946 in total revenue, which consisted of in reimbursable costs and in fixed fee earned under the task order. The audit was limited to those matters and procedures pertinent to the task order that have a direct and material effect on the Statement. The audit also included an evaluation of the presentation, content, and underlying records of the Statement. Further, the audit included reviewing the financial records that support the Statement to determine if there were material misstatements and if the Statement was presented

in the format required by SIGAR. In addition, the following areas were determined to be direct and material and, as a result, were included within the audit program for detailed evaluation:

- Budget Management;
- Cash Management;
- Disbursements (payroll and non-payroll transactions);
- Financial Reporting; and
- Procurement and Inventory Management.

Methodology

Our audit was performed in accordance with United States Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS or the "Yellow Book") issued by the Comptroller General of the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) (2011 Revision). To meet the abovementioned objectives, we designed our audit procedures to include the following:

Audit Objective 1 – Special Purpose Financial Statement

Transactions were selected from the general ledger detail supporting the Statement and were tested to determine if the transactions were recorded accurately and consistent with the terms and conditions of the task order; were incurred within the period covered by the Statement; were appropriately allocated to the task order; and were adequately supported.

Audit Objective 2 – Internal Controls

Castro obtained and reviewed IAP's policies and procedures to gain an understanding of the system of internal control established by IAP during the period of performance. Additionally, Castro conducted interviews with management to obtain an understanding of the processes that were in place during the period of performance. The system of internal control is intended to provide reasonable assurance of achieving reliable financial reporting and compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Castro confirmed internal controls identified by IAP and performed testing over certain key controls to understand if they were implemented as designed.

Audit Objective 3 – Compliance

Castro reviewed the task order issued by ACC to identify criteria against which to test the Statement. Using various sampling techniques, Castro selected transactions, vouchers for payment submitted to ACC, procurements, government property, and reports for testing. Supporting documentation was provided by IAP and subsequently evaluated to assess IAP's compliance. Testing of indirect costs was limited to determining whether indirect costs were calculated and charged to the U.S. Government in accordance with the provisional billing rate letters issued by the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA).

Audit Objective 4 – Corrective Action on Prior Findings and Recommendations

Castro inquired of IAP, SIGAR, and ACC representatives regarding prior audits, reviews, or assessments that were pertinent to the audit scope. Castro also conducted an independent search of publicly available information to identify audit and review reports.

Summary of Results

Upon completion of Castro's procedures, a qualified opinion was issued on the Statement due to \$197,649 in material questioned costs. Castro also reported on IAP's internal control and compliance with contract terms, laws, and regulations. Two material weaknesses and one significant deficiency in internal control were reported, see Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control on page 14. Three of the four findings were classified as instances of noncompliance, see the Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance on page 16. In situations in which control and compliance findings pertained to the same matter, the findings were consolidated within a single finding.

In performing our testing, Castro considered whether the information obtained during our testing resulted in either detected or suspected material fraud, waste, or abuse, which would be subject to reporting under *Government Auditing Standards*. Evidence of such items was not identified by our testing.

Castro reported \$197,649 in questioned costs. SIGAR requires questioned costs be classified as either "ineligible" or "unsupported." SIGAR defines ineligible costs as those that are explicitly questioned because they are unreasonable, prohibited by the audited contract or applicable laws and regulations, or that are unrelated to the award. Unsupported costs are those that are not supported with adequate documentation or did not have the required prior approvals or authorizations.

Finally, Castro conducted procedures to determine whether adequate corrective action was taken in response to prior year audit findings and recommendations applicable to this audit. Castro obtained SIGAR Audit Report 19-17, "Department of the Army's Afghan Ministry of Interior's Network Operations Center and Joint Operations Center Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by IAP Worldwide Services, Inc.", covering the period of May 12, 2016 through July 31, 2018. The one audit report contained five findings related to labor requirements, timekeeping, and ineligible and unsupported costs. Castro concluded that IAP took adequate corrective action on four of the five identified matters that could have a direct and material effect on the Special Purpose Financial Statement or other financial data significant to the audit objectives. The specific results of the follow-up procedures and the status of the findings are noted within Section II: Summary Schedule of Prior Audit, Review and Assessment Findings.

This summary is intended to present an overview of the results of the procedures completed for the purpose described herein and is not intended to be a representation of the audit results in their entirety.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.	Finding Name	Finding Name Classification		iestioned Costs
2020-01	Unallowable Travel Costs Incurred	Internal Control – Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance	\$	1,620
2020-02	Subcontractor Invoices for Other Direct Costs Missing Approvals	Internal Control – Material Weakness and Noncompliance	\$	78,236
2020-03	Unsupported Subcontractor Labor Costs Incurred	Internal Control – Material Weakness	\$	117,793
2020-04	Lack of Evidence to Support Employee Minimum Labor Qualifications	Noncompliance	\$	-
Total Questi			\$	197,649

Summary of Management Comments

IAP's management disagreed with findings 2020-01, 2020-02, 2020-03 and 2020-04. The complete response received can be found in **Appendix A** to this report. The disagreements presented within management's response to the audit findings resulted primarily from:

- 1. Finding 2020-01: IAP asserts that its financial and accounting records clearly document these questioned costs were incurred and that the costs were incurred to the benefit of the subject contract delivery order and in accordance with the terms of the contract. Additionally, IAP has proactively moved the VISA penalty fee and smoking fee to unallowable and credited the ACC.
- 2. Finding 2020-02: IAP states that approval processes are in place, which have been continuously refined over the course of the contract. Additionally, IAP believes that adequate support has been provided to establish that the costs were incurred to the benefit of the subject delivery order and that they were approved for payment to the subcontractor.
- 3. Finding 2020-03: IAP asserts that its financial and accounting records clearly document that these costs were properly incurred to the benefit of the subject delivery order and in accordance with the terms of the subcontract to the complete satisfaction of ACC.
- 4. Finding 2020-04: IAP believes that there is no basis to conclude there is a noncompliance.

Castro did not deem it necessary to modify any of the questioned costs in the report based on its review of management's response. Our rebuttal to management's response is detailed in **Appendix B** of this report.

References to Appendices

The auditor's reports are supplemented by two appendices: **Appendix A**, which contains management's response to the audit findings; and **Appendix B**, which contains the auditor's rebuttal.



1635 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703.229.4440 Fax: 703.859.7603 www.castroco.com

Independent Auditor's Report on the Special Purpose Financial Statement

To the Board of Directors of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. 7315 North Atlantic Avenue Cape Canaveral, Florida 23920

To the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction 2530 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202

Report on the Special Purpose Financial Statement

We have audited the Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. (IAP) and the related notes to the Statement, with respect to the Army Contracting Command (ACC) Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019.

Management's Responsibility for the Special Purpose Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the Statement in accordance with the requirements provided by the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR). Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the Statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the Statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to IAP's preparation and fair presentation of the Statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of IAP's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the Statement.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Qualified Opinion

We noted two material weaknesses in adherence to invoicing and unsupported labor costs for subcontractors, which contributed to \$196,029 of the \$197,649 in questioned costs and increases the risk of unsupported costs being paid inadvertently by ACC. The total questioned cost amount is considered material to the Statement.

Opinion

In our opinion, except for the effects of the \$197,649 in questioned costs noted in the Basis for Qualified Opinion paragraph, the Statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues earned, costs incurred, and balances for the indicated period in accordance with the terms of the agreements and in conformity with the basis of accounting described below.

Basis of Accounting

We draw attention to Note 2 to the Statement, which describes the basis of accounting. As described in Note 2 to the Statement, the Statement is prepared by IAP on the basis of the requirements provided by SIGAR, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

Castro & Campany, LLC

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our reports dated October 22, 2020, on our consideration of IAP's internal controls over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and other matters. The purpose of those reports is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering IAP's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Restriction on Use

Our report is intended solely for the information of IAP, the ACC, and SIGAR, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. Financial information in this report may be privileged. The restrictions of 18 U.S.C. 1905 should be considered before any information is released to the public.

Alexandria, VA October 22, 2020

IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. Special Purpose Financial Statement¹ Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004 November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019

				Questioned C	<u>osts</u>	
					Total Questioned	
_	Budget	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Ineligible</u>	Unsupported	<u>Costs</u>	<u>Notes</u>
Revenues		15 505 046				4
W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004		15,527,946			-	4
Total Revenue	\$	\$ 15,527,946	<u> </u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u> -	i
Costs Incurred						6
Labor			-			C
Material			-	-	-	
Travel			1,620	-	1,620	\mathbf{A}
Other Direct Costs				78,236	78,236	В
Total Costs Incurred	\$	\$	\$ 1,620	\$ 195,069	\$ 196,689	
Fixed Fee			_			C
Total Costs Incurred plus Fixed Fee	\$ 23,780,522	\$ 15,527,946	\$ 1,620	\$ 196,029	\$ 197,649	
Outstand Prog Balance	ф	<u>ф</u>				7
Outstanding Balance	.	<u> </u>				/

_

¹ The accompanying Notes to the Special Purpose Financial Statement and Notes to the Questioned Costs Presented on the Special Purpose Financial Statement are an integral part of this Statement.

IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. Notes to the Special Purpose Financial Statement² Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004 November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) includes costs incurred under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the Ministry of Interior (MOI) Program for the period of November 26, 2018 to November 25, 2019. Because the Statement presents only a selected portion of the operations of the company, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. The information in this Statement is presented in accordance with the requirements specified by the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) and is specific to the Federal Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, TO0004. Therefore, some amounts presented in this Statement may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

Note 2. Basis of Accounting

Expenditures reported on the Statement are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles referenced in the clauses of the aforementioned contract and IAP disclosed cost accounting standard practices, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

Note 3. Foreign Currency Conversion Method

For purposes of preparing the Statement, conversions from local currency to United States dollars were not required.

Note 4. Revenues

Revenues on the Statement represent the amount of funds to which IAP Worldwide Services is entitled to receive from the U.S. Army for allowable, eligible costs incurred under the contract and fixed fees earned, during the period of performance.

Note 5. Revenue Recognition

IAP recognizes revenue in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for this timeframe. Revenue noted in the "Budget" and "Actual" is detailed in the footnotes on the Statement.

² The Notes to the Special Purpose Financial Statement are the responsibility of IAP.

Note 6. Costs Incurred by Budget Category

The budget categories presented, and associated amounts reflect the budget line items within the final, approved contract budget adopted as a component of the Modification number 007 to the contract dated September 27, 2019.

Note 7. Balance

The outstanding fund balance presented on the Statement represents the difference between revenues earned and costs incurred such that an amount greater than \$0 would reflect that revenues have been earned that exceed the costs incurred or charged to the contract and an amount less than \$0 would indicate that costs have been incurred but are pending additional evaluation before a final determination of allowability and amount of revenue earned may be made. The difference between the "Budget or Funded" Revenue and "Actual" Revenue column represents accrued costs and fee not yet billed, plus future costs Budgeted/Funded not yet incurred or billed as of November 25, 2019.

Note 8. Currency

All amounts presented are shown in U.S. dollars.

Note 9. Program Status

The MOI contract remains active and is currently in Option period 2 of the contract.

Note 10. Subsequent Events

Management has performed an analysis of the activities and transactions subsequent to the November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019 period covered by the Statement. Management has performed their analysis through October 22, 2020 the date through which the Statement was available to be issued. IAP concluded that no subsequent events have occurred that would require recognition or disclosure in the Statement.

IAP Worldwide Services, Inc.

Notes to the Questioned Costs Presented on the Special Purpose Financial Statement³ Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004 November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019

- **A.** Finding 2020-01 questioned \$1,620 as a result of ineligible travel costs charged to the U.S. Government. The costs were associated with business class airfare that was purchased without prior approval from the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), and ineligible fees that were charged to the U.S. Government.
- **B.** Finding 2020-02 questioned \$78,236 as a result of unsupported subcontractor ODCs charged to the U.S. Government. The costs were associated with subcontractor invoices for ODCs that were missing approval from IAP's Operation's Technical Representative.
- C. Finding 2020-03 questioned labor costs and in associated fixed fee as a result of payments made to a subcontractor charged to the U.S. Government for labor hours that were not adequately supported by subcontractor timesheets.

13

³ The Notes to Questioned Costs presented on the Special Purpose Financial Statement were prepared by the auditor for informational purposes only and as such are not part of the audited Special Purpose Financial Statement.



1635 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703.229.4440 Fax: 703.859.7603 www.castroco.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control

To the Board of Directors of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. 7315 North Atlantic Avenue Cape Canaveral, Florida 23920

To the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction 2530 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202

We have audited the Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) and related notes to the Statement, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, by IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. (IAP), under Army Contracting Command (ACC) Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019. We have issued our report thereon dated October 22, 2020.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

IAP's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control. In fulfilling this responsibility, estimates and judgments by management are required to assess the expected benefits and related costs of internal control policies and procedures. The objectives of internal control are to provide management with reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the assets are safeguarded against loss from unauthorized use or disposition; transactions are executed in accordance with management's authorization and in accordance with the terms of the contract; and transactions are recorded properly to permit the preparation of the Statement in conformity with the basis of presentation described in Note 1 to the Statement. Because of inherent limitations in internal control, errors or fraud may nevertheless occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any evaluation of the structure to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the effectiveness of the design and operation of policies and procedures may deteriorate.

In planning and performing our audit of the Statement for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019, we considered IAP's internal controls to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of IAP's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of IAP's internal control.

A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of IAP's Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or

a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A *deficiency* in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the second paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did note two material weaknesses in internal control as defined above and described in Findings 2020-02 and 2020-03 and one significant deficiency in internal control as defined above and described in Finding 2020-01 in the attached Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

IAP's Response to the Findings

IAP's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in Appendix A to our report. IAP's response was not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of IAP's internal control. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering IAP's internal control. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Restriction on Use

This report is intended for the information of IAP, the ACC, and the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction. Financial information in this report may be privileged. The restrictions of 18 U.S.C. 1905 should be considered before any information is released to the public.

Castro & Company, LLC Alexandria, VA October 22, 2020



1635 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703.229.4440 Fax: 703.859.7603 www.castroco.com

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Board of Directors of IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. 7315 North Atlantic Avenue Cape Canaveral, Florida 23920

To the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction 2530 Crystal Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202

We have audited the Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) and related notes to the Statement, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, by IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. (IAP), under Army Contracting Command (ACC) Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019. We have issued our report thereon dated October 22, 2020.

Management's Responsibility for Compliance

Compliance with Federal rules, laws, regulations, and the terms and conditions applicable to the task order is the responsibility of IAP management.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed three instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. These instances of noncompliance are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings 2020-01, 2020-02 and 2020-04.

IAP's Response to the Findings

IAP's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in Appendix A to our report. IAP's response was not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Restriction on Use

This report is intended for the information of IAP, the ACC, and the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction. Financial information in this report may be privileged. The restrictions of 18 U.S.C. 1905 should be considered before any information is released to the public.

Castro & Company, LLC Alexandria, VA

October 22, 2020

Section I: Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2020-01: Unallowable Travel Costs Incurred (Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance)

Condition: We examined 16 out of 117 records supporting \$15,740 in travel transactions from a total of \$27,492. During our testing, we noted four instances where IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. (IAP) billed ineligible costs without justification or prior approval for the costs incurred and reimbursed by the Government. We noted the following exceptions and questions costs:

- For two instances, IAP incurred travel costs that exceeded the lowest priced airfare available. In the two instances identified, IAP purchased business class airfare for flights to and from Kabul; however, IAP was unable to provide prior approval from the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) to purchase the business class airfare. This resulted in the contraction of ineligible travel costs.
- For one instance, IAP incurred travel costs which included a VISA penalty fee as a result of coordination issues with the sponsorship vendor, which was not directly related to the task order. This resulted in of ineligible travel costs which were not allowable under the contract.
- For one instance, IAP incurred lodging costs which included an unallowable smoking fee, which is ineligible because it exceeded the maximum per diem rate of \$128 per night in effect at the time of the travel. This resulted in of ineligible travel costs.

Criteria:

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 31.201-2(d), *Determining Allowability*, states:

"A contractor is responsible for accounting for costs appropriately and for maintaining records, including supporting documentation, adequate to demonstrate that costs claimed have been incurred, are allocable to the contract, and comply with applicable cost principles in this subpart and agency supplements. The contracting officer may disallow all or part of a claimed cost that is inadequately supported."

FAR Part 31.201-4, *Determining Allocability*, states:

"A cost is allocable if it is assignable or chargeable to one or more cost objectives on the basis of relative benefits received or other equitable relationship. Subject to the foregoing, a cost is allocable to a Government contract if it –

- (a) Is incurred specifically for the contract;
- (b) Benefits both the contract and other work, and can be distributed to them in a reasonable proportion to the benefits received; or
- (c) Is necessary to the overall operation of the business, although the direct relationship to any particular cost objective cannot be shown."

FAR 31.205-46 (b), *Travel Costs*, states:

"Airfare costs in excess of the lowest priced airfare available to the contractor during normal business hours are unallowable except when such accommodations require circuitous routing, required travel during unreasonable hours, excessively prolong travel, result in increased costs that would offset transportation savings, are not reasonably adequate for the physical or medical needs of the traveler, or are not reasonably available to meet mission requirements. However, in order for airfare costs in excess of the above airfare to be allowable, the applicable condition(s) set forth above must be documented and justified."

FAR 31.205-46 (a)(3), *Travel Costs*, states:

"In special or unusual situations, actual costs in excess of the above-referenced maximum per diem rates are allowable provided that such amounts do not exceed the higher amounts authorized for Federal civilian employees as permitted in the regulations referenced in (a)(2)(i), (ii), or (iii) of this section. For such higher amounts to be allowable, all of the following conditions must be met:

- (i) One of the conditions warranting approval of the actual expense method, as set forth in the regulations referenced in paragraphs (a)(2)(i), (ii), or (iii) of this section, must exist.
- (ii) A written justification for use of the higher amounts must be approved by an officer of the contractor's organization or designee to ensure that the authority is properly administered and controlled to prevent abuse.
- (iii) If it becomes necessary to exercise the authority to use the higher actual expense method repetitively or on a continuing basis in a particular area, the contractor must obtain advance approval from the contracting officer.
- (iv) Documentation to support actual costs incurred shall be in accordance with the contractor's established practices, subject to paragraph (a)(7) of this section, and provided that a receipt is required for each expenditure of \$75.00 or more. The approved justification required by paragraph (a)(3)(ii) of this section and, if applicable, paragraph (a)(3)(iii) of this section must be retained."

Responsive Strategic Sourcing for Services (RS3) Task Order Performance Work Statement (PWS) Afghan National Police Network Operations Center (NOC) Operations and Maintenance Support, *Section 4.0 Travel*, states that:

"Costs for transportation shall be based upon mileage rates, actual costs incurred, or a combination thereof, provided the method used results in a reasonable charge. Travel costs will be considered reasonable and allowable only to the extent that they do not exceed on a daily basis, the maximum per diem rates in effect at the time of the travel. The Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR), while not wholly applicable to contractors, shall

provide the basis for the determination as to reasonable and allowable. Maximum use is to be made of the lowest available customary standard coach or equivalent airfare accommodations available during normal business hours. Using Government funds to pay for premium travel (including first and business class) is not allowable unless specifically authorized. Exceptions for the use of premium travel shall be approved in writing by the COR prior to travel.

Prior to incurring necessary travel meeting the above requirements, the contractor shall submit a travel request for advance review and consideration for approval by the authorized Government appointee (Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer's Representative, or designee). Travel costs that have not been approved in advance may not be paid by the Government."

IAP's 2020 Accounting System Narrative, Section C.6 Timely Payment of Direct Cost Vendors, states:

Reimbursement of employee travel costs are predominantly managed through Concur, a third-party vendor, with automated disbursements based on IAP approval of expense report details. Expense reports are first reviewed by the employee's direct supervisor, then reviewed again by AP to verify that reimbursement requested are in conformance with company policy and applicable regulations. Travel expenses for some contracts are processed manually and undergo review from the employee's supervisor and a Finance member assigned to the contract before being reviewed by AP and approved for payment through the standard AP process.

Cause: IAP billed the task order for ineligible travel costs, including fees and penalties, without first obtaining approval from the Army Contracting Command (ACC) or justification for the costs incurred. According to IAP, these costs were not reviewed by accounts payable to ensure they were reimbursed in conformance with company policy and applicable regulations. IAP has reclassified the smoking fee in the amount of as an unallowable cost, but, as of the date of report drafting, it had not reimbursed ACC. Therefore, this amount remains a questioned cost.

Effect: Lack of effective controls over reimbursable expenses to ensure that costs are allowable under the contract and in conformity with travel regulations by IAP's accounts payable office hindered IAP's ability to identify ineligible travel costs prior to incurring and billing them to ACC. As a result, there is an increased risk of waste, fraud, and abuse of U.S. Government funds.

Questioned Costs: \$1,620 in ineligible travel costs as summarize in the following table:

Contract No.	Travel Transaction Type	Direct Questioned Costs_	Total Overhead Questioned Costs	Total G&A Questioned Costs	Total Questioned Costs
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	Airfare	\$	\$	\$	\$
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	Airfare	\$	\$	\$	\$
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	Airfare Fee	\$	\$	\$	\$
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	Hotel/Lodging Fee	\$	\$	\$	\$
Grand Total		\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,620

Recommendations: We recommend IAP:

- 1) Revise policies and procedures to include a supervisory review within accounts payable over the review of all travel related expenses to ensure that only allowable, reasonable, and approved costs are charged to the U.S. Government in accordance with FAR, Federal Travel Regulations, and other applicable requirements.
- 2) Provide ACC with adequate documentation to support the eligibility of questioned travel costs, to include penalties and fees, billed to the task order or reimburse ACC for the portion of \$1,620 that remains ineligible.

Finding 2020-02: Subcontractor Invoices for Other Direct Costs Missing Approvals (Material Weakness and Noncompliance)

Condition: We examined 49 out of 2,388 records supporting \$1,929,345 in total ODC transactions from a total of \$2,665,878. During our testing, we obtained and reviewed invoices and related supporting documentation submitted for direct costs incurred. We noted four transactions related to subcontractor ODCs where IAP did not maintain documentation to verify that the invoices were approved by the Operation's Technical Representative acknowledging services had been performed and that the invoices were approved for payment, and thus not complying with FAR as it pertains to maintaining records to demonstrate that costs claimed have been incurred and are allocable to the contract.

Criteria:

FAR Part 31.201-2(d), *Determining Allowability*, states:

"A contractor is responsible for accounting for costs appropriately and for maintaining records, including supporting documentation, adequate to demonstrate that costs claimed have been incurred, are allocable to the contract, and comply with applicable cost principles in this subpart and agency supplements. The contracting officer may disallow all or part of a claimed cost that is inadequately supported."



Cause: IAP failed to retain adequate documentation that the Operation's Technical Representative reviewed and approved four invoices totaling \$78,236.

Effect: Failure to maintain documentation acknowledging receipt of services and invoice approval contributes to a lax internal control environment and may result in costs charged to the task order that are fraudulent, unallowable, or unallocable.

Questioned Costs: \$78,236 in unsupported subcontractor ODCs as summarized in the following table:

Contract No.	Invoice ID	Direct Questioned Costs	Applied Overhead Questioned Costs	Applied G&A Questioned Costs	Total Questioned Costs	
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	IAPMOI10018	\$	\$	\$	\$	
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	IAPMOI10020	\$	\$	\$	\$	
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	ZT19282	\$	\$	\$	\$	
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	ZT19282	\$	\$	\$	\$	

Contract No.	Invoice ID	Ques	rect tioned osts	Applic Overhe Questio Costs	ead ned	Appl G& Questi Cos	A ioned	Q	Total uestioned Costs
Grand Total		\$		\$		\$		\$	78,236

Recommendation: We recommend IAP:

- 1) Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process to implement a procedure to ensure IAP's operations personnel retain all supporting documentation to evidence invoice approval by the Operation's Technical Representative.
- 2) Provide ACC with adequate documentation to support the approval of the subcontractor ODCs billed to the task order or reimburse ACC for the portion of \$78,236 that remains unsupported.

Finding 2020-03: Unsupported Subcontractor Labor Costs Incurred (Material Weakness)

Condition: We examined 20 out of 50 records supporting \$4,655,921 in subcontractor labor transactions from a total of \$4,725,343. During our review, we obtained and reviewed invoices and related timesheets to substantiate the labor costs claimed and billed to the Government. For one subcontractor, Zohak Technology (ZTech), we noted issues with six transactions. Below is a breakdown of the issues noted and the associated questioned costs:

- For three transactions related to three ZTech labor invoices (Invoice No.'s ZT19288, ZT19303, and ZT19310), the hours billed within the invoices were less than the hours reported within the subcontractor timesheets. This did not result in questioned costs as IAP did not overbill the Government.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, the invoice included labor charges for hours worked; however, the approved timesheet provided by IAP indicated that only hours of work were performed. This resulted in subcontractor labor costs.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, the invoice included labor charges for hours worked; however, the approved timesheet provided by IAP indicated that only hours of work were performed. This resulted in of billed unsupported subcontractor labor costs.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, IAP reported that ZTech did not provide a copy of the timesheet; therefore, Castro was unable to verify the hours billed on the invoice matched the hours recorded in the timesheet. This resulted in unsupported subcontractor labor costs.

Criteria:

FAR Part 31.201-2 *Determining Allowability*, states:

- "(a) A cost is allowable only when the cost complies with all of the following requirements:
 - (1) Reasonableness.
 - (2) Allocability.
 - (3) Standards promulgated by the CAS Board, if applicable, otherwise, generally accepted accounting principles and practices appropriate to the circumstances.
 - (4) Terms of the contract...
- (d) A contractor is responsible for accounting for costs appropriately and for maintaining records, including supporting documentation, adequate to demonstrate that costs claimed have been incurred, are allocable to the contract, and comply with applicable cost principles in this subpart and agency supplements. The contracting officer may disallow all or part of a claimed cost that is inadequately supported."



Cause: IAP did not have proper controls in place to ensure adherence to federal requirements and its internal policy related to accounting for costs and maintaining records to support amounts billed to the Government. Additionally, IAP did not have a well-developed subcontractor monitoring process to retain proper documentation to support costs incurred and invoiced to IAP. As a result, IAP did not have the documentation to support the labor disbursements sampled.

Effect: IAP did not properly account for labor costs charged to its contract task order. Without proper controls in place to ensure adherence to federal requirements and its internal policy related to accountability for costs and maintenance of supporting documentation, there is an increased risk of waste, fraud, and abuse of U.S. Government funds. Specifically, ACC overpaid IAP for labor that was not supported by documentation.

Questioned Cost: \$117,793 in unsupported subcontractor labor costs as summarized in the following table:

Contract No.	Invoice Number	Direct Questioned Costs		Applied Overhead Questioned Costs	Applied G&A Questioned Costs	Associated Fixed Fee	Total Questioned Costs	
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	ZT19262	\$						
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	ZT18234	\$						
W15P7T-17-D-0146 Task Order 0004	ZT18231	\$						
Grand Total		\$					\$ 117,793	

Recommendations: We recommend that IAP:

1) Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process to include a supervisory review over the verification of subcontractor invoice calculations and mathematical accuracy to ensure that subcontractor labor costs billed to the U.S. Government are allowable, reasonable, and

allocable in accordance with IAP's internal policies, FAR, and other applicable requirements.

- 2) Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process and provide training to relevant employees to ensure IAP retains all supporting documentation, to include timesheets, to ensure adherence to federal requirements and its revised subcontractor invoice process so that such records may be made available upon request.
- 3) Provide the ACC with adequate supporting documentation, such as timesheets, for the \$117,793 in subcontractor labor costs that have been questioned as unsupported under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task No. 0004, or reimburse the ACC for those amounts for which supporting documentation cannot be provided.

Finding 2020-04: Lack of Evidence to Support Employee Minimum Labor Qualifications (Noncompliance)

Condition: Castro selected 16 out of the 16 employees charging under this award supporting \$73,319 in IAP labor transactions from a total of \$731,154. During our review, we requested personnel files and financial records to determine if the costs incurred were adequately supported, allowable, and in compliance with the terms and conditions of this award. During our testing, we noted that one employee performing work under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task No. 0004, held the title of Chief Cyber Security Manager and did not have a Bachelor of Science (BS) in Computer Science, which was required in order to meet the minimum qualification requirements as outlined within the Performance Work Statement (PWS). IAP did not seek or receive approval from the COR for the employee to continue its role as Chief Cyber Security Manager despite not meeting the minimum qualifications per the PWS on October 2018. The employee continued to perform as key personnel on Task Order 0004 from October 2018 through June 2019.

Criteria:

Responsive Strategic Sourcing for Services (RS3) Task Order Performance Work Statement (PWS) Afghan National Police Network Operations Center (NOC) Operations and Maintenance Support, *Section 3.5.1 Daily Operations*, states that:

"Contractor shall provide qualified personnel to successfully manage, maintain, and work in Afghanistan (A002). The contractor shall notify the COR when key personnel are terminated or who relinquish their position within a reasonable time of no more than 30 days (A004). The following are considered key personnel:

Key Personnel	PWS Para
"Senior Project Manager – Contract Site Lead – QA/QC Manager	3.5.1.1
SME – Chief Cyber Security Manager	3.5.1.2"

RS3 Task Order PWS Afghan NOC Operations and Maintenance Support, *Section 3.5.1.2 SME – Chief Cyber Security Manager*, requires the following:

"...BS in Computer Science"

FAR Part 31.201-2(a), *Determining Allowability*, states:

"A cost is allowable only when the cost complied with all of the following requirements:...

(4) Terms of the contract."

Cause: IAP management stated that the employee was approved to work under the title of Chief Cyber Security Manager when the employee was hired in March 2018. At the time of the onboarding, the original PWS did not include the BS in Computer Science requirement. A modification to the PWS was issued on October 2018 and included the additional education

requirement for a BS in Computer Science. IAP management believed that the employee's experience and competencies were sufficient, and the lack of a degree did not impact the employee's ability to serve the position.

As a result of this finding, IAP reached out to the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) over this award and received approval on October 2, 2020 stating that this employee's experience in this area of operation offset the education requirement.

Effect: Positioning personnel that did not meet the PWS requirements of this contract resulted in noncompliance of the PWS requirements. Additionally, having personnel that do not meet the PWS requirements could result in substandard work being performed and the government overpaying for such work.

Questioned Costs: None. The COR indicated that although prior approval was not formally documented, the employee's experience and past performance were adequate to fulfill the responsibilities of the position under the task order.

Recommendation:

1. Develop and implement a policy to ensure that any change in staffing, or deviations in the minimum qualification requirements as outlined within the PWS, are communicated to the contract COR within 30 days and approvals are obtained and maintained.

Section II – Summary Schedule of Prior Audit, Review and Assessment Findings

Castro & Company, LLC (Castro) obtained and reviewed SIGAR Audit Report 19-17, "Department of the Army's Afghan Ministry of Interior's Network Operations Center and Joint Operations Center Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by IAP Worldwide Services, Inc.", covering the period of May 12, 2016 through July 31, 2018. The audit was performed Conrad, LLP (Conrad) and identified five findings that required corrective action. Based on Castro's procedures, we concluded that IAP had taken corrective action to address four of the recommendations. However, Castro noted that one of the findings was repeated during our current financial audit of costs incurred.

The audit report prepared by Conrad for the period from May 12, 2016 through July 31, 2018 disclosed total questioned costs in the amount of \$2,231,965 of unsupported/ineligible costs. During our fieldwork, Castro conducted follow-up procedures related to the findings and provided the current status of each finding as noted below:

Finding 2018-01: Employees did not meet the qualifications as required by the Performance Work ("PWS") (Noncompliance)

<u>Condition:</u> The audit disclosed that IAP's three employees and two subcontractor employees did not meet the minimum certifications requirement per the Performance Work Statement (PWS) or IAP's job description. This resulted in \$1,413,156 ineligible questioned costs.

<u>Current Status</u>: During our procedures, we reviewed the policies and procedures pertaining to this issue to ensure adequate procedures were in place, and we also interviewed management to obtain an understanding of corrective action measures that were implemented. During our testing, we noted that one employee performing work under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task No. 0004, held the title of Chief Cyber Security Manager and did not have a Bachelor of Science (BS) in Computer Science, which was required in order to meet the minimum qualification requirements as outlined within the PWS. As this issue was repeated, Castro determined that IAP had not taken adequate corrective action under the contract for the period of November 26, 2018 to November 25, 2019. However, we did not have questioned costs as IAP reached out to the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) over this award and received approval on October 2, 2020 stating that this employee's experience in this area of operation offset the education requirement.

Finding 2018-02: Lack of evidence to support employees met the minimum pre-deployment requirements (Noncompliance)

<u>Condition:</u> The audit disclosed that IAP could not provide sufficient documentation to support that they had met the pre-deployment requirements. In addition, IAP could not provide background check documentation and job certifications required under the contract. This resulted in \$750,191 ineligible questioned costs.

<u>Current Status:</u> During our procedures, we reviewed the policies and procedures pertaining to this issue to ensure adequate procedures were in place, and we also interviewed management to obtain an understanding of corrective action measures that were implemented. We reviewed the employee's personnel files and did not identify any employees that did not meet the predeployment requirements per the PWS. As the issue was not repeated under the current contract for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019, Castro determined that IAP had adequately addressed this finding.

Finding 2018-03: Lack of adherence to IAP's timekeeping policy (Internal Control Significant Deficiency & Noncompliance)

<u>Condition:</u> The audit disclosed that 25 weekly timesheets where employees had entered time prior to the days occurred. In addition, it was noted that employees had recorded times after 9:00 am on the following day, which is a violation of the IAP's timekeeping policy. This resulted in \$37,389 unsupported questioned costs.

<u>Current Status:</u> During our testing, we reviewed timesheets submitted and approved by IAP personnel. We did not identify any instances where IAP personnel did not comply with the IAP timekeeping policy. As the issue was not repeated under the current contract for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019, Castro determined that IAP had adequately addressed this finding.

Finding 2018-04: Duplicate cost claimed (Internal Control Deficiency)

<u>Condition:</u> The audit disclosed that IAP claimed a duplicate shipping charge related to a shipment of equipment to Kabul, Afghanistan totaling \$16,800. This resulted in \$18,328 ineligible questioned costs.

<u>Current Status:</u> During our audit procedures, we obtained the IAP's listing of disbursement transactions during the period under audit and scanned the listing of direct costs charged to the task order for accuracy. We reviewed the general ledger to determine whether costs incurred were recorded correctly, classified properly, and scanned the listing for duplicate transactions. We did not identify any duplicate transactions during our procedures. As the issue was not repeated under the current contract for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019, Castro determined that IAP had adequately addressed this finding.

Finding 2018-05: Lack evidence to support reasonableness of consultant expense (Internal Control Deficiency)

<u>Condition</u>: The audit disclosed that IAP did not maintain any documentation to support the reasonableness of consultant services. This resulted in \$12,901 unsupported questioned costs.

<u>Current Status</u>: During our procedures we obtained IAP's listing of disbursement transactions during the period under audit scanned the listing of direct costs charged to the task order for accuracy. We reviewed the general ledger to determine whether costs incurred were recorded correctly, classified correctly, and scanned the listing for duplicate transactions. During our testing of disbursements, we reviewed the procurement files for all disbursement samples that met IAP's procurement criteria. IAP was able to provide adequate procurement documentation for all samples tested. As the issue was not repeated under the current contract for the period of November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019, Castro determined that IAP had adequately addressed this finding.

Appendix A – IAP's Response to the Findings



January 12, 2021

Ms. Millie Seijo, CPA, Partner Castro & Company, LLC 1635 King Street Alexandria, VA 22314

SUBJECT: IAP Worldwide Services, Inc.'s Response to Results of Financial Audit of
Costs Incurred Under Contract W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order Number 0004
Ministry of Interior's Network Operations Center & Joint Operations Center Project
For the Period November 26, 2018 through November 25, 2019

Dear Ms. Seijo,

On December 07, 2020 IAP Worldwide Services, Inc. ("IAP") received a copy of a draft audit report from Castro, LLC. Regarding the work performed, the draft report states that:

"Castro & Company, LLC (Castro) was engaged by the Office of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) to conduct a financial audit of costs incurred of IAP's Special Purpose Financial Statement (the Statement) under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004."

The draft audit report correctly states that:

"The objective of the task order is to help the Afghan Ministry of the Interior achieve its goals through effective and efficient use of information technologies. Contract No. W15P71-17-D-0146, Task Order 0004, includes a base period plus four option periods, which extend through November 25, 2023 and a ceiling amount of \$48,772,121. The task order has been modified seven times, increasing the obligated amount from \$10,088,836 to \$23,780,521 and revising the period of performance end dates for the base and first option periods."

The draft report outlines four separate objectives of the audit that were established by the SIGAR:

- "Express an opinion on whether IAP's SPFS for the contract presents fairly, in all material respects, the revenues received, costs incurred, items directly procured by the U.S. Government, and the balance for the period audited in conformity with the terms of the award and generally accepted accounting principles or other comprehensive basis of accounting.
- Evaluate and obtain sufficient understanding of IAP's internal controls related to the contract, assess control risk, and identify and report on significant deficiencies including material internal control weaknesses.



- 3. Perform tests to determine whether IAP complied, in all material respects, with the contract requirements and applicable laws and regulations; and identify and report on instances of material noncompliance with terms of the award and applicable laws and regulations, including potential fraud or abuse that may have occurred.
- 4. Determine and report on whether IAP has taken adequate corrective action to address findings and recommendations from previous engagements that could have a material effect on the SPFS or other financial data significant to the audit objectives."

IAP provides herein its responsive comments to the findings of the draft report in the same order as those results are presented. IAP appreciates the opportunity to respond to the comprehensive audit by Castro and Company. IAP also appreciates the courteous and professional manner in which the audit was conducted. All assertions by the auditors were discussed during the audit and IAP believes that in many cases, sufficient documentation was provided to support the allowability, allocability and reasonableness of the costs incurred and charged to the task order.

In addressing the findings of the audit IAP believes that it is important to note that IAP successfully accomplished the mission in a dangerous and challenging environment and accomplished all tasks within the required timeline, to the satisfaction of its customer, the U.S. Army Contracting Command—Aberdeen Proving Grounds (ACC-APG) and Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A) supporting their mission with the Afghanistan Ministry of Interior. At no time during performance of the contract did the customer notify IAP that it was not satisfied with the performance or qualifications of any of IAP's personnel or that the mission was not being satisfactorily completed.

The draft report: The draft report on Page 5 states:

"Upon completion of Castro's procedures, a qualified opinion was issued on the Statement due to \$197,649 in material questioned costs. We also reported on IAP's internal control and compliance with contract terms, laws, and regulations. One material weakness, one significant deficiency, and one deficiency in internal control were reported, see Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control on page 13. Three of the four findings were classified as instances of noncompliance, see the Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance on page 15."

IAP Response: IAP does not agree that the auditor's opinion should be qualified. IAP believes that it has support for most of the costs questioned and the few remaining costs do not rise to the level of significance to warrant a qualified opinion regarding internal control or compliance concerns. Each of the categories of questioned costs and IAP's responsive comments are addressed further herein.



Section I: Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding No.	Finding Name	Classification	Questioned Costs	
2020-01	Unallowable Travel Costs Incurred	Internal Control - Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance	s	1,620
2020-02	Subcontractor Invoices for Other Direct Costs Missing Approvals	Internal Control - Deficiency and Noncompliance	5	78,236
2020-03	Unsupported Subcontractor Labor Costs Incurred	Internal Control - Material Weakness	5	117,793
2020-04	Lack of Evidence to Support Employee Minimum Labor Qualifications	Noncompliance	\$	
otal Questioned Costs			5	197,649

The questioned costs fall into different categories, as follows:

- Finding 2020-01 questioned \$1,620 as a result of ineligible travel costs charged to the U.S. Government. The costs were associated with business class airfare that was purchased without prior approval from the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR), and ineligible fees that were charged to the U.S. Government.
- 2) Finding 2020-02 questioned \$78,236 as a result of unsupported subcontractor ODCs charged to the U.S. Government. The costs were associated with subcontractor invoices for ODCs that were missing approval from IAP's Operation's Technical Representative.
- 3) Finding 2020-03 questioned: labor costs and labor in associated fixed fee as a result of payments made to a subcontractor charged to the U.S. Government for labor hours that were not adequately supported by subcontractor timesheets.

The auditors also identified one instance of non-compliance as follows:

 Finding 2020-04: Lack of Evidence to Support Employee Minimum Labor Qualifications (Noncompliance)

IAP's Response to the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2020-01: *Unallowable Travel Costs Incurred (Significant Deficiency and Noncompliance).* The draft report asserts that IAP billed ineligible costs without justification or prior approval for the costs incurred and reimbursed by the Government. The auditors identified ineligible costs as noted below:

- a. "For two instances, IAP incurred travel costs that exceeded the lowest priced airfare available. In the two instances identified, IAP purchased business class airfare for flights to and from Kabul; however, IAP was unable to provide prior approval from the Contracting Officer's Representative (COR) to purchase the business class airfare. This resulted in of ineligible travel costs.
- b. For one instance, IAP incurred travel costs which included a VISA penalty fee as a result of coordination issues with the sponsorship vendor, which was not directly



related to the task order. This resulted in \ of ineligible travel costs which were not allowable under the contract.

c. For one instance, IAP incurred lodging costs which included an unallowable smoking fee, which is ineligible because it exceeded the maximum per diem rate of per night in effect at the time of the travel. This resulted in fineligible travel costs."

The auditors made the following recommendation:

"We recommend IAP:

- 1) Revise policies and procedures to include a supervisory review within accounts payable over the review of all travel related expenses to ensure that only allowable, reasonable, and approved costs are charged to the U.S. Government in accordance with FAR, Federal Travel Regulations, and other applicable requirements.
- 2) Provide ACC with adequate documentation to support the eligibility of questioned travel costs, to include penalties and fees, billed to the task order or reimburse ACC for the portion of \$1,620 that remains ineligible."

IAP Response to Finding 2020-01: IAP disagrees with the finding, conclusion, and the auditor's interpretation of the incurred costs. Response to the individual costs is as follows:

- a. IAP purchased Business Class Airfare for personnel from Dubai to Kabul to enhance employee safety. The program manager authorized the Business class ticket to expedite the customs and immigration process and reduce time spent within the airport due to the hostile/dangerous environment of Kabul. IAP recently received formal authorization from the COR authorizing Business Class Airfare for both Task Order 0004 and 0005 due to safety reasons. See attachment A.
- b. IAP incurred travel costs which included a VISA penalty fee as a result of coordination issues with the sponsorship vendor. Due to the immateriality of the costs and to resolve this issue, IAP has voluntarily moved these charges to unallowable and credited the customer.
- c. IAP inadvertently incurred an unallowable smoking fee on a lodging receipt. This charge has since been moved to unallowable and credited to the customer.

Conclusion: IAP's financial and accounting records clearly document that these costs were incurred to the benefit of the subject contract delivery order and in accordance with the terms of the contract. IAP believes that these few exceptions, less than 1% of costs incurred, do not indicate a systemic issue. However, in an abundance of caution, the costs for the visa penalty and the smoking fee have been proactively moved to unallowable and credited to the customer.



Finding 2020-02: Subcontractor Invoices for Other Direct Costs Missing Approvals (Internal Control Deficiency and Noncompliance). The draft report asserts that IAP billed subcontractor invoices which were not adequately approved. The auditors identified the unapproved costs as noted below:

"We examined 49 out of 2,388 records supporting \$1,929,345 in total ODC transactions from a total of \$2,665,878. During our testing, we obtained and reviewed invoices and related supporting documentation submitted for direct costs incurred. We noted four transactions related to subcontractor ODCs where IAP did not maintain documentation to verify that the invoices were approved by the Operation's Technical Representative acknowledging services had been performed and that the invoices were approved for payment, and thus not complying with FAR as it pertains to maintaining records to demonstrate that costs claimed have been incurred and are allocable to the contract."

The auditors made the following recommendation:

"We recommend IAP:

- 1) Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process to implement a procedure to ensure IAP's operations personnel retain all supporting documentation to evidence invoice approval by the Operation's Technical Representative.
- 2) Provide ACC with adequate documentation to support the approval of the subcontractor ODCs billed to the task order or reimburse ACC for the portion of \$78,236 that remains unsupported."

IAP Response to Finding 2020-02: IAP disagrees with the finding, conclusion, and the auditor's interpretation of the incurred costs. IAP's subcontractor invoice process requires approval by the subcontract administrator as well an Operations representative and a financial analyst. IAP considers the three levels of approval to be adequate. Examples of approvals on other invoices were provided to the audit team for months subsequent to the ones noted below. These examples showed the full implementation of the invoice approval process which includes the required signature and date on the vendor invoice by the Program Manager.

Questioned Invoices:

Invoice ZT19282: This invoice was for armored vehicles for IAP's personnel and security

subcontract, the IAP team would not be able to travel to the work locations and would not be able to perform on the contract. The presence of the IAP team at the work locations and the completion of the work are evidence that these vehicles were utilized.



<u>Invoices IAPMOI10018 and IAPMOI10020</u>: These invoices were for the lodging facility in Afghanistan for Guest rooms, office space, laboratory and yard for December 2018 and January 2019.

team could not perform on the contract. The presence of the IAP team at the work locations and the completion of the work are evidence that these facilities were utilized.

Conclusion: IAP does not agree that this is a deficiency and a non-compliance as discussed above. IAP maintains that there were approval processes in place which continued to be refined over the course of the contract. IAP believes that it has provided adequate support to establish that the costs were incurred to the benefit of the subcontract delivery order and approved for payment to the subcontractor.

Finding 2020-03: *Unsupported Subcontractor Labor Costs Incurred (Material Weakness)*. The draft report asserts that IAP billed subcontractor invoices which were not adequately supported. The auditors identified the following invoices as unsupported.

- For three transactions related to three ZTech labor invoices (Invoice No.'s ZT19288, ZT19303, and ZT19310), the hours billed within the invoices were less than the hours reported within the subcontractor timesheets. This did not result in questioned costs as IAP did not overbill the Government.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, the invoice included labor charges for hours worked; however, the approved timesheet provided by IAP indicated that only hours of work were performed. This resulted in billed unsupported subcontractor labor costs.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, the invoice included labor charges for hours worked; however, the approved timesheet provided by IAP indicated that only hours of work were performed. This resulted in billed unsupported subcontractor labor costs.
- For one transaction related to a ZTech labor invoice, IAP reported that ZTech did not provide a copy of the timesheet; therefore, Castro was unable to verify the hours billed on the invoice matched the hours recorded in the timesheet. This resulted in of billed unsupported subcontractor labor costs.

The auditors made the following recommendation:

"We recommend IAP:

 Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process to include a supervisory review over the verification of subcontractor invoice calculations and mathematical accuracy to ensure that subcontractor labor costs billed to the U.S. Government are allowable,



reasonable, and allocable in accordance with IAP's internal policies, FAR, and other applicable requirements.

- 2) Revise its Subcontractor Invoice Process and provide training to relevant employees to ensure IAP retains all supporting documentation, to include timesheets, to ensure adherence to federal requirements and its revised subcontractor invoice process so that such records may be made available upon request.
- 3) Provide the ACC with adequate supporting documentation, such as timesheets, for the \$117,793 in subcontractor labor costs that have been questioned as unsupported under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task No. 0004, or reimburse the ACC for those amounts for which supporting documentation cannot be provided."

IAP Response to Finding 2020-03: IAP disagrees with the finding, conclusion, and the auditor's

importantly, the subcontract work was performed adequately in accordance with the requirements of the prime contract, as evidenced by the customer's positive contractor performance reviews.

Notwithstanding the above, IAP will obtain the missing timesheet from ZTech if Castro would like us to do so.

Conclusion: IAP's financial and accounting records clearly document that these costs were properly incurred to the benefit of the subject contract delivery order and in accordance with the terms of the subcontract to the complete satisfaction of IAP's customer.

Finding 2020-04: Lack of Evidence to Support Employee Minimum Labor Qualifications (Noncompliance). The draft report asserts that one employee performing on the contract did not meet the minimum qualification requirements as noted below:

"We noted that one employee performing work under Contract No. W15P7T-17-D-0146, Task No. 0004, held the title of Chief Cyber Security Manager and did not have a Bachelor of Science (BS) in Computer Science, which was required in order to meet the minimum qualification requirements as outlined within the Performance Work Statement (PWS). IAP did not seek or receive approval from the COR for the employee to continue its role as Chief Cyber Security Manager despite not meeting the minimum qualifications per the PWS on October 2018. The employee continued to perform as key personnel on Task Order 0004 from October 2018 through June 2019."

The auditors made the following recommendation:

"We recommend IAP: Develop and implement a policy to ensure that any change in staffing, or deviations in the minimum qualification requirements as outlined within the PWS, are



communicated to the contract COR within 30 days and approvals are obtained and maintained."

IAP Response to Finding 2020-04: IAP disagrees with the finding, conclusion, and the auditor's interpretation of the contract requirements related to the costs incurred for the Chief Cyber Security Manager position. The initial RFP did not contain the requirement for the Chief Cyber Security Manager to hold a Bachelor of Science (BS) in Computer Science. This requirement was added later to Task Order 0004 after the individual employee had been hired into the position. While IAP acknowledges that Mr. Taylor did not have a BS in Computer Science, he did perform on the contract in an exemplary manner and had a significant amount of experience in this area. The Contracting Officer's Representative,

"As Contracting Officer Representative, I acknowledge does not meet the stated requirement of a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science. I feel his experience and past performance indicates he is able to fulfill the requirements of the contract and further believe his experience in this area of operations more than offsets the education requirement."

Conclusion: IAP believes there is no basis to conclude there is a noncompliance and requests that this instance be deleted from Castro's final report.

Section II - Summary Schedule of Prior Audit, Review and Assessment Findings

The audit was performed by Conrad, LLP (Conrad) and identified five findings that required corrective action. Based on Castro's procedures, we concluded that IAP had taken corrective action to address four of the recommendations. However, Castro noted that one of the findings was repeated during our current financial audit of costs incurred.

IAP Response to the status of prior findings: IAP believes that the auditor's review has confirmed that IAP has taken prompt appropriate actions to remediate previously noted deficiencies. IAP addressed the repeat finding by obtaining approval by the COR for the deviation in qualifications for the one specific employee position. See Finding 2020-04 above.

In conclusion, IAP does not believe that there are sufficient findings to justify a qualified audit opinion. IAP has provided responsive comments and additional support for costs initially identified as ineligible or unsupported and, in some instances, IAP proactively moved questioned costs to unallowable. IAP requests that Castro carefully consider IAP's response in developing its final audit report.



Once again IAP states its appreciation to Castro's auditors for the open and professional manner in which they conducted their review of IAP's cost representations.

Please contact me directly at (321) 784-7358 or by e-mail at lynn.e.smey@iapws.com if you have any questions regarding this response.

Respectfully,

Lynn E. Digitally signed by Lynn E. Smey Date: 2021.01.12 16:57:11-05'00'

Lynn, E. Smey

Director of Internal Audit/Government Compliance

cc: Courtney Edison, Castro & Company Charles Cosgrove, IAP CFO

Appendix B – Auditor's Rebuttal

Castro & Company, LLC (Castro) has reviewed IAP Worldwide Services Inc.'s (IAP) management response to the audit findings. In consideration of management's views, the following rebuttal to certain matters presented by the auditee is included. A rebuttal has been included in those instances where management disagreed with the facts presented within the condition or otherwise did not concur with Castro's recommendations. Management disagreed with findings 2020-01, 2020-02, 2020-03, and 2020-04. Castro's rebuttal to IAP's management response follows.

Finding 2020-01

IAP disagreed with this finding and after our review we noted the following matters:

- a. Business Class Airfare Castro reviewed management's response as well as the documentation provided to evidence prior approval from the COR authorizing business class airfare for safety reasons. Castro also understand management's comments regarding business class tickets being purchased to expedite the customs and immigration process and reduce time spent within the airport due to the hostile/dangerous environment of Kabul. The support provided by IAP was obtained after the finding was noted and after the business airfare was booked. As a result, the finding and questioned costs have not been adjusted.
- b. VISA Penalty Fee Castro reviewed management's response and confirm that IAP proactively moved the VISA penalty fee to unallowable due to the finding noted during our audit procedures. Since this issue was discovered as a result of our audit procedures and support was not provided to evidence the credit to ACC, the finding and questioned costs have not been adjusted.
- c. Smoking Fee Castro reviewed management's response and confirm that IAP proactively moved the smoking fee to unallowable due to the finding noted during our audit procedures. Since this issue was discovered as a result of our audit procedures and support was not provided to evidence the credit to ACC, the finding and questioned costs have not been adjusted.

Finding 2020-02

Management disagreed with the finding due to management's belief that there were approval processes in place which continued to be refined over the course of the contract and believed that it provided adequate support to establish that the costs were incurred to the benefit of the subcontract delivery order and approved for payment to the subcontractor.

Castro reviewed management's response to the finding. Castro concurs with management's response that IAP's approval processes continued to be refined over the course of the contract and that the billed costs were allowable per the terms of the contract. However, the supporting

documentation provided for the four invoices did not include the adequate proof of approval that was evident in the other 45 samples tested, and Castro was unable to verify that the billed services had been performed. Therefore, the finding and questioned costs have not been adjusted.

Finding 2020-03

Management disagreed with the finding due to management's belief that financial and accounting records clearly document that these subcontract costs were properly incurred to the benefit of the subject contract delivery order and in accordance with the terms of the contract to the complete satisfaction of ACC.

Castro reviewed the invoices that included discrepancies in the total hours billed based on management's response and noted that the subcontractor invoiced IAP for costs incurred using total hours as a base. As a result, Castro was unable to verify that the costs billed for services had been performed by the subcontractor. Additionally, IAP was unable to provide Castro with the subcontractor invoices for one sample. Castro was unable to verify the headcount or hours worked by the subcontractor for the one invoice. As a result, the finding and questioned costs have not been adjusted.

Finding 2020-04

Management concurred with Castro's assessment that the employee did not meet the education requirements per the contract but disagreed with the finding due to revisions to the RFP subsequent to the employee performing its role on the contract.

Castro reviewed management's response. Castro concurs with management's response that the employee's failure to meet the education requirements per the contract did not have an effect on its performance on the contract. However, IAP did not recognize that the employee was not qualified, nor did it request approval from the COR until the qualification requirement was brought to IAP's attention by the auditors. As a result, Castro determined that IAP was not in compliance with the contract requirements and the finding has not been adjusted.

SIGAR's Mission

The mission of the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR) is to enhance oversight of programs for the reconstruction of Afghanistan by conducting independent and objective audits, inspections, and investigations on the use of taxpayer dollars and related funds. SIGAR works to provide accurate and balanced information, evaluations, analysis, and recommendations to help the U.S. Congress, U.S. agencies, and other decision-makers to make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions to:

- improve effectiveness of the overall reconstruction strategy and its component programs;
- improve management and accountability over funds administered by U.S. and Afghan agencies and their contractors;
- improve contracting and contract management processes;
- prevent fraud, waste, and abuse; and
- advance U.S. interests in reconstructing Afghanistan.

Obtaining Copies of SIGAR Reports and Testimonies

To obtain copies of SIGAR documents at no cost, go to SIGAR's Web site (www.sigar.mil). SIGAR posts all publicly released reports, testimonies, and correspondence on its Web site.

To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Afghanistan Reconstruction Programs

To help prevent fraud, waste, and abuse by reporting allegations of fraud, waste, abuse, mismanagement, and reprisal, contact SIGAR's hotline:

- Web: www.sigar.mil/fraud
- Email: sigar.pentagon.inv.mbx.hotline@mail.mil
- Phone Afghanistan: +93 (0) 700-10-7300
- Phone DSN Afghanistan: 318-237-3912 ext. 7303
- Phone International: +1-866-329-8893Phone DSN International: 312-664-0378
- U.S. fax: +1-703-601-4065

Public Affairs

Public Affairs Officer

Phone: 703-545-5974

• Email: sigar.pentagon.ccr.mbx.public-affairs@mail.mil

 Mail: SIGAR Public Affairs 2530 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA 22202